

Reference No. 20053065

Representation in respect of Application for Development Consent Order on behalf of J.W.Kirkham & Sons, J.W.Kirkham & Sons (Eastham) Ltd and Eastham Developments, Mr T.J.Kirkham, Mrs J.E.Worlock and Mrs J.A. Myerscough  
Objections lodged by Mr T.J.Kirkham

1. We consider the DCO application to be invalid.

There has not been adequate consideration of alternative routes for on- shore cables. Specifically there is a route with landfall north of Blackpool near |Rossall school which could connect in to the grid at Hillhouse with a very short route which could be undertaken by directional drilling. This would cause a tiny fraction of the disturbance compared to the route to Penwortham. There would be a saving of hundreds of millions of pounds and considerable job creation in a deprived area.

2. We question whether it is appropriate that there is one DCO for two projects when there is no commitment from the two companies to work together during the construction phase. We request that the Planning Inspectorate impose conditions to coordinate simultaneous construction in order that the landowners can have their land returned for them to farm it in a timely manner.

3. We object to the routing of the cable corridor very close to our quiet, secluded holiday park and the disruption this will cause to our valued, loyal customers. We have put forward an alternative, more direct route to the north of Bridge Hall Farm.

We do not believe there has been appropriate consideration and assessment of the cable route alternatives.